

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-45 are currently pending and are subject to a restriction requirement. According to the restriction requirement, claims 39-43 were grouped into a first Species A and said to be drawn to an auctioning system, claims 22-26 were grouped into a second Species B and said to be drawn to a mobile data collection system on insured's vehicle, claims 19-20 were grouped into a third Species C and said to be drawn to a laser mapping system on a tow truck, claims 36-38 were grouped into a fourth Species D and said to be drawn to a laser mapping system at a central repair facility, and claims 30-35 were grouped into a fifth Species E and said to be drawn to a claims wizard automated embodiment.

Claims 1-18, 27-29 and 45 were said to be generic. Claim 44 was not specifically mentioned in the Office Action, so it is assumed that claim 44 is also a generic claim and not part of the restriction requirement.

Applicant elects claims 30-35 of invention Species E.

**CONCLUSION**

Applicant has included herein an identification of the elected species along with a listing of all claims readable thereon. No new claims have been added. Should this response be considered inadequate or non-responsive for any reason, or should the Examiner have any questions, comments or suggestions that would expedite the prosecution of the present case to allowance, Applicants' undersigned representative earnestly requests a telephone conference.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: May 9, 2007

By: /Gary Stanford/  
Gary R. Stanford  
Reg. No. 35,689

Law Offices of Gary R. Stanford  
Customer Number 26122